STRUCTURAL AND MAGNETIC CHARACTERIZATION OF MAGHEMITES PREPARED FROM AI-SUBSTITUTED MAGNETITITES(1)
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SUMMARY

Synthetic aluminum-substituted maghemites were characterized by total chemical analysis, powder X-ray diffraction (XRD), Mössbauer spectroscopy (ME), and vibrating sample magnetometry (VSM). The aim was to determine the structural, magnetic, and hyperfine properties of $\gamma$-Fe$_{2-x}$Al$_x$O$_3$ as the Al concentration is varied. The XRD results of the synthetic products were indexed exclusively as maghemite. Increasing Al for Fe substitution decreased the mean crystalline dimension and shifted all diffraction peaks to higher $^\circ \theta$ angles. The $a_0$ dimension of the cubic unit cell decreased with increasing Al according to the equation $a_0 = 0.8385 - 3.63 \times 10^{-5}$ Al ($R^2 = 0.94$). Most Mössbauer spectra were composed of one sextet, but at the highest substitution rate of 142.5 mmol mol$^{-1}$ Al, both a doublet and sextet were obtained at $\approx 300$ K. All hyperfine parameters from the sub-spectra were consistent with high-spin Fe$^{3+}$ (0.2 a 0.7 mms$^{-1}$) and suggested a strong superparamagnetic component associated with the doublet. The magnetic hyperfine field of the sextets decreased with the amount of Al-substitution [B$_{hf}$ (T) = 49.751 - 0.1202Al; $R^2 = 0.94$] while the linewidth increased linearly. The saturation magnetization also decreased with increasing isomorphous substitution.
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INTRODUCTION

The ferrimagnetic mineral maghemite ($\gamma$-$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$) is closely related with metal availability and P adsorption capacity. Magnetization is spontaneous in a significant percentage of Brazilian soils. In the State of Paraná (Brazil) the area of magnetic soils represents nearly 50% (Costa et al., 1999).

Magnetic soils are common in many parts of the world. These soils show spontaneous magnetization of more than 1 J T$^{-1}$ kg$^{-1}$ and, as a result, are attracted to a hand magnet. The minerals that are responsible for this characteristic are magnetite ($\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$) and, especially, fine-grained maghemite ($\gamma$-$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$). In Brazil, magnetic soils comprise up to 5% of the total land area (Resende et al., 1986) and soil magnetic properties have been utilized in a variety of earth science studies, such as soil genesis, erosion, pollution (Chen et al., 2005), metal availability (Roy & Bhattacharya, 2012), and P adsorption capacity (Vilar et al., 2010). Electromagnetic and magnetic sensors are also frequently used for detection of buried objects (military ordnance, pipelines, etc), but their performance is often compromised in magnetic soils. Hence, it is important for both academic and practical reasons to understand the origin of variations in magnetic soil properties.

Recently, many studies have investigated natural and synthetic maghemites because of their importance in magnetic soils (Souza Junior et al., 2010; Silva et al., 2010; Batista et al., 2010; Batista et al., 2011). Maghemite ($\gamma$-$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ or $\text{Fe}_{25\varphi}\text{Al}_{75\varphi}\text{O}_3$) is a cation-deficient spinel with Fe$^{3+}$ ions distributed among both tetrahedral (A) and octahedral (B) sites. The number of B sites is twice that of A. One-third of the total
MATERIAL AND METHODS

Synthesis method

Precursor magnetites were synthesized following the Schwertmann & Cornell (1991) procedure with some modifications. Aqueous solutions of FeSO$_4$ and Al$_2$(SO$_4$)$_3$ were mixed in different ratios to attain nominal replacement values of Al$^{3+}$ for Fe$^{3+}$, ranging from 0.0 to 300.0 mmol mol$^{-1}$ in the general chemical formula γ-Fe$_{2-x}$Al$_x$O$_3$. The reaction was conducted at 90 °C under N$_2$ atmospheric conditions. With the addition of an alkali (KOH 1 mol L$^{-1}$) to the solution, a bluish material precipitated, which evolved to a dark powder (magnetite) in less than 1 h and was easily attracted to a hand magnet. All synthetic materials were washed free of salts with deionized water, frozen under liquid N$_2$, and freeze-dried. The magnetites were transformed to maghemites by heating at 250 °C for 4 h in a forced draft oven. In order to purify the maghemites, poorly crystalline materials were selectively removed one by one, in a 4-h treatment with acid (pH 3.0) ammonium-oxalate (2.0 mol L$^{-1}$) in the dark, using a sample-to-solution ratio of 1:1000, according to the procedure described in McKeague & Day (1966).

Characterization

The chemical composition of the powdered materials was verified after dissolution with hot (75 °C), concentrated (1:1 v/v) H$_2$SO$_4$. Iron and Al in solution were determined using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). Powder XRD analysis was carried out on a Shimadzu D6000 equipment, using a Cu-Kα ($\lambda$=0.15418 nm) beam and Ni filter. The XRD was conducted with scanning steps of 0.02° (2θ), with 0.6 s for the time of pulse accumulation. The X-ray diffraction patterns were used to evaluate the unit cell parameter, a$_0$, and the mean crystal diameter size (MCD), d, was calculated based on Scherrer’s formula. Mössbauer spectroscopy (MS) in transmission geometry was performed using a conventional Mössbauer spectrometer in constant acceleration mode. The $\lambda$-rays were provided by a $^{57}$Co (Rb) source. The Mössbauer spectra were analyzed using a non-linear, least-square routine with Lorentzian line shapes and a fixed linewidth (Γ). All isomer shift (IS) data given are relative to α-Fe throughout this paper. Magnetization measurements were performed using a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) at room temperature. The Vibrating Sample Magnetometer (VSM) provides hysteresis loops for the samples, from which parameters such as coercivity, coercivity of remanence, and saturation magnetization can be determined.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

It was possible to synthesize maghemites with different degrees of isomorphous substitution (IS) ranging from 0-142.5 mmol mol$^{-1}$. However, the IS values observed in the synthesized Al-maghemites were lower than expected if Al was completely miscible with Fe in the maghemite structure (Table 1). The difference between expected and observed values must be associated with the properties of Al, since Batista et al. (2008), studying synthetic Zn-substituted maghemites and using the same synthesis conditions, observed variations smaller than 2% between the expected and observed IS values. Another possibility to explain the differences is the occupancy of site A and B. Costa et al. (2007), working with Zn$^{2+}$ substituted magnetites, observed Zn$^{2+}$ substitution only at the A cation sites. On the other hand, some researchers working with Al-substituted maghemites (Wolska & Schwertmann, 1989; Costa et al., 1995) have observed Al mainly in the B sites. Moreover, the synthesis methodology used in this study was first described for pure magnetite synthesis (Schwertmann & Cornell, 1991).

Figure 1 presents the X-ray diffraction patterns for selected samples. Only maghemite diffraction lines were observed (i.e., no impurities were detected). The diffractograms could be indexed to a cubic spinel structure similar to that obtained from the Joint Committee for Powder Diffraction Standards (JCPDS databasecard # 39-1.346). The diffraction peaks moved to higher 2θ angles with increasing Al-substitution indicating a systematic decrease of the a$_0$ unit cell parameter. This behavior was observed for the six most intense diffraction lines and was related to the fact that Fe$^{3+}$ has a larger ionic radius (r = 0.064 nm) than Al$^{3+}$ (r = 0.053 nm). Schwertmann & Fechter (1984) observed that maghemites from highly weathered soils with a high degree of Fe replacement by Al had significantly lower unit cell dimensions than pure maghemites. In addition, a decrease in peak intensities and an increase in full width at half maximum (FWHM) of diagnostic diffraction peaks were observed. These alterations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IS expected mmol mol$^{-1}$</th>
<th>IS observed</th>
<th>Fe$_{2-x}$Al$_x$O$_3$ mol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Fe$<em>{2.00}$O$</em>{3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>Fe$<em>{1.90}$Al$</em>{0.10}$O$_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>Fe$<em>{1.90}$Al$</em>{0.05}$O$_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>Fe$<em>{1.94}$Al$</em>{0.06}$O$_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>Fe$<em>{1.95}$Al$</em>{0.07}$O$_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>Fe$<em>{1.86}$Al$</em>{0.14}$O$_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>69.8</td>
<td>Fe$<em>{1.88}$Al$</em>{0.12}$O$_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150.0</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>Fe$<em>{1.83}$Al$</em>{0.20}$O$_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200.0</td>
<td>118.8</td>
<td>Fe$<em>{1.70}$Al$</em>{0.24}$O$_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300.0</td>
<td>142.5</td>
<td>Fe$<em>{1.72}$Al$</em>{0.29}$O$_3$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
were basically related to a decrease in the crystal size of the maghemites caused by Al entrance into the mineral structure.

Figure 2(a) shows the variation of the mean lattice parameter, $a_0$, calculated and averaged from the six most intense peaks, as a function of the Al content. The mean unit cell parameter varied from 0.8357 nm, close to the $a_0$ value of JCPDS card # 39-1.346 for pure maghemite, to 0.8306 nm for maghemite containing 142.5 mmol mol$^{-1}$ Al. Schwertmann & Fechter (1984) observed the same negative correlation using natural samples. A detailed inspection of the diffractograms in figure 1 reveals, in addition, changes in the widths of the diffraction peaks with increasing IS. The mean crystalline diameter, calculated from the FWHM of each diffraction peak, decreased linearly with increasing Al for Fe substitution (Pereira et al., 1999; Campbell et al., 2000) (Figure 2b).

Figure 3 shows the room temperature (RT) Mössbauer spectra from end-member samples. For maghemites with 0.0 up to 118.8 mmol mol$^{-1}$ Al, the best spectral fits were obtained using one discrete sextet (Figure 3a, Table 2). At 142.5 mmol mol$^{-1}$ Al, the optimum statistical fit required the application of both a sextet and a doublet (Figure 3b). In this specimen, approximately 32% of the spectral area was attributed to the doublet (Table 2). The hyperfine parameters for both the sextet and doublet were consistent with high-spin Fe$^{3+}$, and the lack of spectral evidence for Fe$^{2+}$ or Fe with mixed charge (Fe$^{2+}$/3+) excluded the presence of residual magnetite in all samples. Mössbauer doublets associated with Fe$^{3+}$ usually represent either a paramagnetic mineral behaviour, or superparamagnetic iron oxides with very small particle size (Silva et al., 2005). In the present case, superparamagnetism is probably the best explanation for the appearance of a doublet in the sample containing 142.5 mmol mol$^{-1}$ Al (Figure 3b). The linewidths ($\Gamma$) of the Mössbauer spectra tended to increase across all samples with increasing Al substitution (Figure 4a) in a manner similar to that observed with X-ray diffraction peak widths (data not shown). These increases in peak widths indicate reduced crystallinity of the maghemite samples caused by Al substitution.
The Mössbauer data obtained by Costa et al. (1994) at 295 K from aluminous magnetites prepared by different methods (IS = 0.32 mm s\(^{-1}\) and \(B_{hf} = 45-52\) T) were similar to the hyperfine parameters from samples in this study (Table 2). Murad & Johnston (1987) observed that increasing Al in the crystalline structure of maghemite decreased \(B_{hf}\), because of the replacement of ferrimagnetic Fe by paramagnetic Al. Overall, the magnetic hyperfine field values (\(B_{hf}\)) obtained in this study also decreased with increasing isomorphous substitution, except at Al-substitution rates of <20 mmol mol\(^{-1}\) where the \(B_{hf}\) values appeared to increase (Figure 4b). Batista et al. (2010) observed that the mass-specific magnetic susceptibility of these samples also increased with IS. Jesus Filho et al. (1993) calculated the magnetization of synthetic Al-substituted maghemites and concluded that when substitution occurred in the A sites in a collinear condition, the magnetization of the sample would increase considerably. In other cases, where IS occurred in the B sites with collinear configuration or spin canting, the magnetization values should decrease linearly. These observations suggest that the initial increments of Al substituted for Fe in maghemite tend to occupy the A sites, whereas, later increments replace Fe in the octahedral sites, thereby reducing \(B_{hf}\). Wolska & Schwertmann (1989) also affirmed that maghemite can accommodate up to 2 mol\% Al in the A site. According to the results from this study (Figure 6), the maximum Al substitution in maghemite would be approximately 18.3 mol % \([B_{hf} = 49.56 + 0.013 \text{Al} - 3.56 \times 10^{-4} \text{Al}^2\) \((r^2 = 0.98)\), which is close to the value estimated by Wolska & Schwertmann (1989).

The magnetization vs. applied field curves obtained for all studied samples are shown in figure 5. These curves are characteristic of systems exhibiting ferrimagnetic behavior. When the magnetizations at 10 kOe are plotted as a function of Al content (Figure 6), an overall decrease in the magnetization was observed as a consequence of the replacement of ferrimagnetic Fe\(^{3+}\) by paramagnetic Al\(^{3+}\) (Batista et al., 2008). However, when the plot is expanded and the first four points are observed in detail there is clearly an increase in magnetization followed by a decrease at higher substitution levels \((M = 65.12 + 0.213 \text{Al} - 0.0144 \text{Al}^2, r^2 = 0.99)\). The maximum magnetization occurred with 7.4 mmol mol\(^{-1}\) Al. The same behavior was observed in figure 4(a).

### Table 2. Mössbauer hyperfine parameters and sub-spectral areas for the Fe\(_{2-x}\)Al\(_x\)O\(_3\)maghemites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample (mmol mol(^{-1}))</th>
<th>IS(^{(1)}) (±0.02) mm s(^{-1})</th>
<th>QS(^{(2)}) (±0.02) mm s(^{-1})</th>
<th>(B_{hf})(^{(3)}) (±0.2) mm s(^{-1})</th>
<th>(T)(^{(4)}) (±0.2) mm s(^{-1})</th>
<th>Area (±0.3) %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0 Sextet</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>49.57</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.4 Sextet</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>49.62</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.2 Sextet</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>49.70</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.1 Sextet</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>49.22</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.9 Sextet</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>49.53</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53.5 Sextet</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>49.22</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69.8 Sextet</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>48.84</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97.6 Sextet</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>48.68</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118.8 Sextet</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>48.29</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142.5 Sextet</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>47.94</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doublet</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>-0.98</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{(1)}\) Relative to \(\alpha\)-Fe foil at room temperature; \(^{(2)}\) quadrupole splitting; \(^{(3)}\) magnetic hyperfine interaction; and \(^{(4)}\) linewidth.
with respect to the $B_{hf}$ data, and in Batista et al. (2010) using mass-specific magnetic susceptibility measurements.

**CONCLUSIONS**

1. All synthetic samples were identified as maghemites. With the increase of IS the $a$ parameter, MCD, $B_{hf}$ and magnetization decreases. On the other hand, with the increase of IS an increase of linewidth was observed.

2. The sextets obtained were attributed to maghemite and the doublet was attributed to a small maghemite with superparamagnetic behaviour due to the high value of isomorphous substitution.

3. At low levels of isomorphous substitution, the magnetic behavior ($B_{hf}$ and magnetization) of the sample increases, while at high levels of the isomorphous substitution the magnetic behavior ($B_{hf}$ and magnetization) decreases.
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